

Neighbourhood Plan Public Consultation Evening 32rd January 2014 – Post-it Comments Transcription

Sub-category	Comment
Housing mix	The Mound! - No development
Housing mix	Maintain demographically balanced communities but with additional provision for older groups allowing care and recreational activities to be delivered in a more straightforward manner.
Housing mix	Housing development in Suffolk → 10 or so affordable houses in every village – help keep viable: Post Office, School, buses
Covenants	Need provision for wheelie bins
Covenants	Houses should have more storage/wrking spaces so that garages stay as garages and won't be large sheds
Covenants	Garage conversion to rooms should not be permitted
Covenants	Housing style 2 is most acceptable but can it be used for affordable housing?
Covenants	Good idea to have similar covenants to Martlesham Heath on all new housing developments
Covenants	Martlesham Heath had Section 52 covenants re amenity land (not able to be built on, used for roads etc). We ned to keep these covenants going and if necessary put in place the modern equivalent in the new development.
Covenants	Can you require architects to come up with “this is how you could extend it” plans and then enforce them? So design of husing remains cohesive.
Covenants	Housing/flats for younger and older people to encourage a full age range of residents – even if this means (well designed brick-tile) blocks of flats.Mixed tenure, buying/renting/private/social/part purchase/leasing/equity share.
Covenants	Adastral Park housing versus industrial. What is the %age and how dense will industrial areas be!!
Covenants	Grave concerns that we lose Woodbridge Football Ground Excellent leisure facilities to husing. We would lose “lovely” green areas. (Already have had to accept Adastral Park and the other “B”)
Covenants	Accept BT site – fight is over
Traffic calming and parking	Could all houses have a proviso that there must be adequate off road (driveway) parking for 2 vehicles (minimum).
Traffic calming and parking	Wider pavements to cater for ageing population (wheelchairs, walking frames, etc). Strict 'no parking' of cars on pavements – how do we prevent people parking half on pavement/half on road?
Traffic calming and parking	Avoid straight streets in new development – they act as wind tunnels
Traffic calming and parking	All new developments to provide sufficient car parking, cycle ways and good pedestrian access between housing and facilities (retail, leisure, health, etc)
Traffic calming and parking	car ports instead of garages to ensure people park their cars rather than use their garage for storage.
Data capture	Planning for caravans on Falcon Park – doubling up on sites. Insufficient drainage. Heights not appropriate some are on very high plinths
Style 1	All housing with the style of existin huses. Nothing over 3 storeys. Off street parking as roads are narrow
Style 2	My housing preference is style 2 s is traditional and in keeping with most of Martlesham
Style 2	Tick
Style 2	Style 2
Style 3	If the Adastral development goes ahead will there be provision for more schooling/medical facilities/ retail/ residential care for the elderly?
Style 3	Housing mix. Need more affordable houses plus more housing suitable in size and facilities for older people so they can stay in the area/
Style 3	Smaller family type houses are required. Affordable ? ? mixed in with other ?
Style 4	Need sheltered and 'care' homes within the Parish. There is a sever lack of such housing.
Style 4	With an ageing population provision needs to be made in the new development for sheltered/warden housing and a nursing home such as Alice Grange or Grove Court in Woodbridge.
Style 4	Bungalows needed as well as warden centred accommodation for elderly residents in the future.
Style 4	No chance of making Martlesham Heath a conservation area I guess? Pioneering new village, etc.
Style 5	Concern that a blanket policy to encourage a mix of housing types might allow developers to change the existing houses to flats or build small houses on infill plots within existing housing areas. Perhaps have one policy for substantial new developments and another that protects the established residential character and density of existing housing areas.
Style 5	Should there be a policy re: changes of use within existing housing areas – meaning small businesses etc – or a proviso against them.
Style 5	Parking in unsafe areas near bends/junctions etc and on pavements is becoming a serious problem. Clearly, new development has to have sufficient off-street spaces.
Style 5	Scale of development on BT site needs to respect the scale and location(?) ???? at Martlesham Heath and Old Martlesham. Perhaps lower scale 1 or 1 1/2 storey and landscaping on large plots where development abuts the ANOB. Some of the designs at exhibition look too urban and too tall.
Style 6	Tick
Style 7	Housing should broadly be appropriate afor a Suffolk vilage or market town. F Ok for Woodbridge (height, etc) OK have
Style 7	Social housing is an important requirement to alow younger people to move into the area.
Style 7	Energy efficient social housing.